# Mobile Robot Kinematics

Prof. Matthew Spenko MMAE 540: Introduction to Robotics Illinois Institute of Technology

# Mobile Robot Kinematics

Typical Mobile Robot Types

## Ackermann Steered Vehicles



# **Differential Drive Robots**

- Popular and common
- 0 turn radius at 0 velocity
- Turn radius is function of velocity



# Skid Steered Mobile Robots

• Must induce slip to turn





• Solve for heading angle velocity



$$\omega_1 R \hat{\mathbf{b}}_x = \omega_2 R \hat{\mathbf{b}}_x + \det \begin{vmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_x & \hat{\mathbf{b}}_y & \hat{\mathbf{b}}_z \\ 0 & 0 & \dot{\theta} \\ 0 & -2l & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

 $\mathbf{V}_{2} \perp (\mathbf{V}_{2} \vee \mathbf{P}_{1})$ 

$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{R\left(\omega_1 - \omega_2\right)}{2l}$$

37. -

Solve for velocity





• Transform  $_{n}\mathbf{v}_{p}$  into the inertial frame to obtain  $\dot{x},\dot{y}$ 



# Mini Quiz

- Working alone, answer the following:
  - Schematically draw an Ackermann-Steered vehicle, differential drive vehicle, and skid-steered vehicle
  - What is the difference between a differential drive and skid steered vehicle?
  - Describe all of the terms in:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{y}} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \end{bmatrix} = {}^{n} \mathbf{R}^{b} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{R(\omega_{1} + \omega_{2})}{2} \\ 0 \\ \frac{R(\omega_{1} - \omega_{2})}{2l} \end{bmatrix}$$

• After you are done, discuss your results with your neighbor

# Generalized Fixed Wheel Kinematics



#### Generalized Fixed Wheel Kinematics

• Define the rotation matrices



#### Generalized Fixed Wheel Kinematics



# Generalized Fixed Wheel Kinematics Continued

• Need this in the C-basis

$$\begin{aligned} -\dot{\phi}r\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{y} &= \dot{x}\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{x} + \dot{y}\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{y} - l\dot{\theta}\sin\alpha\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{x} + l\dot{\theta}\cos\alpha\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{y} \\ \hline \frac{c}{\mathbf{R}}^{b} & \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{x} & \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{y} \\ \hline \hat{\mathbf{c}}_{x} & \cos\left(\alpha + \beta\right) & \sin\left(\alpha + \beta\right) \\ \hat{\mathbf{c}}_{y} & -\sin\left(\alpha + \beta\right) & \cos\left(\alpha + \beta\right) \\ \hline -\sin\left(\alpha + \beta\right) & \cos\left(\alpha + \beta\right) \\ \hline \frac{c}{\mathbf{c}}_{x} & c_{\alpha\beta\theta} & s_{\alpha\beta\theta} \\ -\dot{\phi}r\hat{c}_{y} & -\dot{s}c_{\alpha\beta\theta}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{x} - \dot{x}s_{\alpha\beta\theta}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{y} + \dot{y}s_{\alpha\beta\theta}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{x} \\ & +\dot{y}c_{\alpha\beta\theta}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{y} - l\dot{\theta}s_{\alpha}c_{\alpha\beta}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{x} + l\dot{\theta}s_{\alpha}s_{\alpha\beta}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{y} + l\dot{\theta}c_{\alpha}s_{\alpha\beta}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{x} + l\dot{\theta}c_{\alpha}c_{\alpha\beta}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{y} \end{aligned}$$

• The  $c_v$  component gives the pure rolling constraint

$$-\dot{\phi}r = -\dot{x}s_{\alpha\beta\theta} + \dot{y}c_{\alpha\beta\theta} + l\dot{\theta}\left(s_{\alpha}s_{\alpha\beta} + c_{\alpha}c_{\alpha\beta}\right)$$
$$-\dot{\phi}r = -\dot{x}s_{\alpha\beta\theta} + \dot{y}c_{\alpha\beta\theta} + l\dot{\theta}\left(c_{\beta}\right)$$

# Generalized Fixed Wheel Kinematics Continued

• Rearrange:

$$-\dot{\phi}r = -\dot{x}s_{\alpha\beta\theta} + \dot{y}c_{\alpha\beta\theta} + l\dot{\theta}(c_{\beta})$$
$$\dot{\phi}r = \begin{bmatrix} s_{\alpha\beta} & -c_{\alpha\beta} & -lc_{\beta} \end{bmatrix} {}^{b}R^{n} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

• Verify:  

$$\dot{\phi}r = \begin{bmatrix} s_{\alpha\beta}c_{\theta} + c_{\alpha\beta}s_{\theta} & s_{\alpha\beta}s_{\theta} - c_{\alpha\beta}c_{\theta} & -lc_{\beta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $s_{lphaeta heta}$ 

• Why? Separates wheels (each with its own  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ) from body reference frame

# Generalized Fixed Wheel Kinematics Continued

• Now just the c<sub>x</sub> component

$$\begin{aligned} -\dot{\phi}r\hat{c}_{y} &= \dot{x}c_{\alpha\beta\theta}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{x} - \dot{x}s_{\alpha\beta\theta}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{y} + \dot{y}s_{\alpha\beta\theta}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{x} \\ + \dot{y}s_{\alpha\beta\theta}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{y} - l\dot{\theta}s_{\alpha}c_{\alpha\beta}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{x} + l\dot{\theta}s_{\alpha}s_{\alpha\beta}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{y} + l\dot{\theta}c_{\alpha}s_{\alpha\beta}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{x} + l\dot{\theta}c_{\alpha}c_{\alpha\beta}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{y} \\ 0 &= \begin{bmatrix} c_{\alpha\beta} & s_{\alpha\beta} & ls_{\beta} \end{bmatrix}^{b}R^{n} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- Gives the no sideslip constraint
- Steerable wheel = same constraints, but now  $\beta = \beta \left( t \right)$

#### Caster wheel



- Rolling constraint is the same
- Sliding constraint

$$0 = \begin{bmatrix} c_{\alpha\beta} & s_{\alpha\beta} & d + ls_{\beta} \end{bmatrix} {}^{b}R^{n} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

Г

• An omnidirectional system because for all

$$\dot{\xi} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{ heta} \end{bmatrix}$$

there exists some value of  $\dot{\beta}$  and  $\dot{\phi}$  such that the constraints are met

## Mobile Robot Kinematics Mini Quiz

- Describe what a rolling and no side-slip constraint physically mean
- Describe the terms in the following constraint equation:

$$0 = \begin{bmatrix} c_{\alpha\beta} & s_{\alpha\beta} & ls_{\beta} \end{bmatrix} {}^{b}R^{n} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

• What type of constraint is this (i.e. fixed, steerable, rolling, no-sideslip, caster)?

# Mobile Robot Chassis Constraints

- Now have all of the constraints
- Can then compute constraints of entire robot chassis
- Combine all kinematic constraints from each wheel
- No need to consider caster wheels, only standard and steerable wheels

# Mobile Robot Chassis constraints

- Suppose the robot has N standard wheels
- N composed of N<sub>f</sub> fixed wheels and N<sub>s</sub> steerable wheels
- Let  $\beta_s(t)$  be the variable steering angles of the steerable wheels
- Let  $\beta_{f}$  be the fixed steering angel of the fixed wheels
- Let  $\phi_f(t)$  be the rotational positon of the steerable wheels
- Let  $\phi_s(t)$  be the rotational position of the fixed wheels
- Let  $\phi(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_f(t) \\ \phi_s(t) \end{bmatrix}$

#### **Rolling Constraint**

• The rolling constraints can now be written as:



all wheels must spin about the horizontal axis an appropriate amount so that rolling occurs

#### No Side Slip Constraint

• Can use the same technique to get the no side slip constraint:

$$\mathbf{C}_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{s}\right)\mathbf{R}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)\dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{I}=0$$

• Where:

$$\mathbf{C}_{1}\left(\beta_{s}\right) = \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C}_{1f} \\ \mathbf{C}_{1s}\left(\beta_{s}\right) \end{array}\right]$$

• Combine to yield full set of kinematic constraints:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}_{1}(\beta_{s}) \\ \mathbf{C}_{1}(\beta_{s}) \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}(\theta) \, \dot{\xi}_{I} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}_{2}(\phi) \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

# Example: Differential Drive Robot

- Two fixed wheels
- Fixed wheel constraint equations:

$$0 = \begin{bmatrix} s_{\alpha\beta} & -c_{\alpha\beta} & -lc_{\beta} \end{bmatrix} {}^{b}R^{n} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} - \dot{\phi}r$$
$$0 = \begin{bmatrix} c_{\alpha\beta} & s_{\alpha\beta} & ls_{\beta} \end{bmatrix} {}^{b}R^{n} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$
• Wheel 1

$$\alpha_1 = -\frac{\pi}{2} \quad \beta_1 = \pi$$

• Wheel 2

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \beta_2 = 0$$



$$0 = \begin{bmatrix} s_{\alpha\beta} & -c_{\alpha\beta} & -lc_{\beta} \end{bmatrix} {}^{b}R^{n} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} - \dot{\phi}r \qquad \alpha_{2} = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \beta_{2} = 0$$

$$\alpha_{1} = -\frac{\pi}{2} \quad \beta_{1} = \pi$$

$$0 = \begin{bmatrix} c_{\alpha\beta} & s_{\alpha\beta} & ls_{\beta} \end{bmatrix} {}^{b}R^{n} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} + \pi\right) & -\cos\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} + \pi\right) & -l\cos\pi \\ \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 0\right) & -\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 0\right) & -l\cos\theta \\ \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 0\right) & \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 0\right) & l\sin\theta \end{bmatrix} {}^{b}\mathbf{R}^{N}\dot{\xi}_{I} = \begin{bmatrix} r\dot{\phi}_{1} \\ r\dot{\phi}_{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & l \\ 1 & 0 & -l \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} {}^{b}\mathbf{R}^{N}\dot{\xi}_{I} = \begin{bmatrix} r\dot{\phi}_{1} \\ r\dot{\phi}_{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Only including 1 no-side slip constraint because 2<sup>nd</sup> constraint is identical

# Mobile Robot Kinematics

**Kinematic Mobility** 

## Degree of Mobility

- Look at the constraint equation for no side slip
- Fixed wheel:  $\mathbf{C}_{1f}{}^{b}\mathbf{R}^{n}\dot{\xi}_{I}=0$
- Steerable wheel:  $\mathbf{C}_{1s} \left(\beta_s\right){}^{b} \mathbf{R}^{n} \dot{\xi}_{I} = 0$
- For either constraint to be satisfied, the "motion vector" must belong to the null space of the "projection matrix"

 ${}^{b}\mathbf{R}^{n}\dot{\xi}_{I}=0$ 

 $\mathbf{C}_{1s}\left(\beta_{s}\right)$ 

• The null space of  $\mathbf{C}_{1s}\left(\beta_{s}
ight)$  is the space N such that for any vector:

 $n \in N : C_1(\beta_s) n = 0$ 

# Geometric Meaning

- For the constraints to be satisfied the robot must have an instantaneous center of rotation
- The ICR is the point (or set of points) of which the body-observed and Newtonian-observed velocity is the same



# Implications of Instantaneous Center of Rotation

- Robot mobility is a function constraint #, not wheel #
  - Ackermann steering = 4 wheels, 3 constraints
  - Single track (bicycle) = 2 wheels, 2 constraints
  - Differential drive = 2 wheels, but 1 constraint
- Robots chassis' kinematics = function of the set of independent constraints from all standard wheels
- Related to constraint matrix rank = # of individual constraints
- Higher rank = lower mobility
- Example: unicycle

$$\mathbf{C}_{1}\left(\beta_{s}\right) = \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C}_{1f} \\ \mathbf{C}_{1s} \end{array}\right] \quad \text{empty}$$

 $\mathbf{C}_{1}\left(\beta_{s}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\left(\alpha + \beta\right) & \sin\left(\alpha + \beta\right) & l\sin\beta \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Rank} = \mathbf{1}$ 

# Example 2

Differential Drive

$$\mathbf{C}_{1}\left(\beta_{s}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} c_{\alpha_{1}} & s_{\alpha_{1}} & 0\\ c_{\alpha_{1}+\pi} & s_{\alpha_{1}+\pi} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{C}_{1}\left(\beta_{s}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} c_{\alpha_{1}} & s_{\alpha_{1}} & 0\\ c_{\alpha_{1}+\pi} & s_{\alpha_{1}+\pi} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{C}_{1}\left(\beta_{s}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- 2 constraints, but rank = 1
- In general:
  - If rank of  ${f C}_{1f}>1$  then vehicle can only follow a curved or straight path

 $0 < rank \left[ \mathbf{C}_{1} \left( \beta_{s} \right) \right] \leq 3$ 

- Not very interesting
- Most systems have fixed and steerable wheels so

Corresponds to a completely degenerate vehicle that can't move



# Degree of Mobility

• Definition:

$$\delta_m \equiv 3 - rank \left[ \mathbf{C}_1 \left( \beta_s \right) \right]$$

Dimension of the null space

- Mobility must lie between 0 and 3
- Examples
  - Differential drive, degree of mobility = 2 (1 constraint)
  - Bicycle, degree of mobility = 1 (2 constraints)

# Degree of Steerability and Maneuverability

• Degree of Steerability:

$$\delta_{s} \equiv rank \left[ \mathbf{C}_{1s} \left( \beta_{s} \right) \right]$$

- An increase in the degree of steerability = more degrees of steering freedom such that a standard wheel can have both low mobility and high steerability
- Maneuverability = degrees of freedom:

$$\delta_M = \delta_m + \delta_s$$

- Maneuverability:
  - 3 = holonomic
  - 2 or less = non-holonomic

## Examples

